

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PAPER

10/31/2007

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,380	02/05/2004	Tokio Ooi	118520	3333	
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 10/31/2007 RIDGE PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 320850			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/771,380		OOI ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
•	· · · · · ·	Stuart Hen	drickson	1793	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF TH 136(a). In no eve will apply and wil e, cause the appli	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	N. hely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/23</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is no ince except	for formal matters, pro		merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from cor	·		
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b)[drawing(s) be tion is require	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	` ,
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 11 <u>9</u>				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer rity docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	itage
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	٠.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/771,380

Art Unit: 1793

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A) In claim 2, 'at a heating temperature' is unclear and poor grammar. The carbon is heating something else?

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP '605.

The reference teaches pretreating a carbon to block pores. The pore distribution is not recited; applicant should show a difference. No differences are seen in the carbon or the effect of the treatment.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP '543.

The reference teaches contacting carbon with hot organic gas. Fig. 2 appears to show a wide initial pore distribution. No differences are seen in the carbon or the effect of the treatment.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ohsaki et al. 4458022.

The reference teaches contacting carbon with hot organic gas. The pore distribution is not recited; applicant should show a difference. No differences are seen in the carbon or the effect of the treatment. See col. 4 in particular.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Munzer et al. 3962129.

Art Unit: 1793

The reference teaches contacting carbon with hot organic gas. The pore distribution is not recited; applicant should show a difference No differences are seen in the carbon or the effect of the treatment. See col. 3 in particular.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 1 requires closing micropores, which is what Ohsaki teaches. The other references appear to achieve the claimed effect, due to the similarity of the process. It is argued that '605 that no pores of 20A diameter remain. This (even if true, which it seems not to be) is not required by the claims. It is argued that '543 does not close micropores. However, it is deemed to do so, in view of the process it uses versus the claims. The claims do not require canisters. The alleged unexpected results have not been demonstrated.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754